FBI INFO.			
CLASSIFIE	D BY:		
REASON: 1	4 (C)	
DECLASSIE	YON:	12-31-	2034
DATE: 08-	15-20	18	

SECRET#ORCON,NOFORN

UNITED STATES

FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT

		(S)	
		1	
			b1 b3 b6
			b7A b7C
	SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER		
<u>S</u> }-	The Court granted pen register/trap-and-trace authority on the terms requested in the government's applications. Those authorizations included the following provision:	S)	
	[T]his authority includes the authority to record and decode all post-cut-through digits,[¹] as described in the Government's Verified Memorandum of Law Regarding the Collection of Post-Cut-Through Digits Through Telephone Pen Register Surveillance Under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, filed with the Court of The Government shall not make any attirmative investigative use, through pen register authorization, of post-cut-through digits that do not constitute call dialing, routing, addressing or signaling information, unless separately authorized by this Court.		b1 b3 b7A
		S	b1 b3 b7A
	The government first expressly raised the issue of acquisition of post-cut-through digits by pen registers before the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) in Docket No	(8)	
	ⁱ "Post-cut-through digits" are numbers dialed on a telephone after an initial connection is made (<u>i.e.</u> , after the call is "cut through").		
	SECRET#ORCON,NOFORN		
	1		

Ъ6 b7С

SECRET#ORCON,NOFORN

đ

1

ì

i

-

See Government's Verified Memorandum of Law, Docket No Government's Memorandum of Law in Response to the Court's July 27, 2006 Order, Docket No. Since then, most FISC orders authorizing the use of pen registers on telephones or cellular telephones have contained a provision similar to the one quoted above.	S) (S)	b1 b3 b7A b7E
The government's submissions in Docket Note represented that technology then reasonably available to the government was unable to acquire all post-cut-through digits that constituted non-content dialing, routing, addressing or signaling information, while excluding from acquisition post-cut-through digits that constituted the contents of a communication. Under this circumstance, the government argued, and the judges of the FISC have generally accepted, that 18 U.S.C. § $3121(c)^2$ should be understood to apply to pen registers authorized under 50 U.S.C. § 1842, and to permit the acquisition of all post-cut-through digits including content digits subject to a prohibition on making affirmative investigative use of content post-cut-through digits.		
approach. Since the government's briefings to the FISC in Docket No (S) (S) (S)		b1 b3 b7A b7E
A government agency authorized to install and use a pen register under this chapter or under State law <u>shall use technology</u> <u>reasonably available to it</u> that restricts the recording or decoding of electronic or other impulses to the dialing, routing, addressing, and signaling information utilized in the processing and transmitting of wire or electronic communications <u>so as not to include the contents</u> of any wire or electronic communications.		
18 U.S.C. § 3121(c) (emphasis added).	(S) ·	b1 b3 b7A b7E
(continued) SECRET#ORCON,NOFORN 2		

August 20, 2018, Public Release

SECKET#ORCON,NOFORN

Moreover, the approach generally adopted by the FISC rests on a factual premise that has not been revisited since 2006: that reasonably available technology is unable to distinguish between content and non-content post-cut-through digits prior to acquisition. Changes in technology could result in an enhanced capacity to distinguish between content and non-content post-cut-through digits, which in turn could call into question whether pen register orders should routinely authorize the acquisition of all post-cut-through digits.

In view of these circumstances, and the likelihood that the issue of acquiring post-cutthrough digits will continue to be presented in pen register applications presented to the FISC, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

On or befor the government shall make a written submission to the (S) FISC regarding the acquisition of post-cut-through digits under pen register orders. This submission shall include:

b1

b3

(S)

b1 b3 b7A

b7A

(1) A description of whether and to what extent technology that is now reasonably available to the government can distinguish between content and non-content post-cut-through digits prior to acquisition, to include an explanation of whether such capabilities vary from case to case (e.g., depending on the provider or the nature of the service used by the target). If such technology does not currently

SECRET#ORCON,NOFORN

6-CV-02041 Document

August 20-2018, Public Release

SECRET#ORCON,NOFORN

exist, the submission shall include a description of what efforts are being made by the government to develop such technology.

(2) A discussion of the legal issues presented, in light of the current technology and the opinions cited in footnote 3 above.

(S)		b1
ENTERED this	(S)	b3
	10 P Han.	b7A

THOMAS F. HOGAN

Judge, United States Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court

SECRET#ORCONNOFORN

4

p	eputy Clerk
"SC, certify that this	s document
a true and corre	
the origin	ป

b6 b7С

August 20, 2018, Public Release